

District 11 Project Evaluation System

Project	
Applicant	
County	

Cost Analysis	Total Project Cost		OPWC Grant Request	\$0
			OPWC Loan Request	\$0
			OPWC Loan Assistance Request	\$0

Factor	System	Points Available	Criteria	Score
Infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the District. (replacement costs divided by total costs)		5	100% replacement	
		3	99-51% replacement	
		0	<50% replacement	

Regional Nature of Project relative to infrastructure needs of the District (FOR ROAD / BRIDGE PROJECTS: if Thoroughfare Plan is attached check box at right and if Road Bridge Classification is found reasonable by the County Subcommittee check box at right.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thoroughfare Plan		<input type="checkbox"/> Classification	
	Roads/Bridges (determined by ODOT classification held by District 11 Liaison)		10	Major/Minor Arterial
		7	Major/Minor Collector	
		4	Local Through Street	
		0	Subdivision, neighborhood or cul-de-sac street	
	Water Treatment & Storage Wastewater Treatment System	10	Population served \geq 5,000	
		7	Population served \geq 1,000 but < 5,000 inclusive	
		4	Population served < 1,000	
	Waterlines	10	Waterline \geq 12"	
		7	Waterline \geq 8" but < 12" inclusive	
		4	Waterline < 8"	
	Sanitary Sewer Lines	10	Sewer line \geq 15"	
		7	Sewer line \geq 10" but < 15" inclusive	
		4	Sewer line < 10"	
	Storm Water Systems (closed)	10	Tile size \geq 36"	
		7	Tile size \geq 24" but < 36" inclusive	
		4	Tile size < 24"	
	Storm Water Systems (open)	10	Drains \geq 10,000 acres	
		7	Drains \geq 1,000 but < 10,000 acres inclusive	
	4	Drains < 1,000 acres		
Solid Waste Facilities	10	Population served \geq 200,000		
	7	Population served \geq 150,000 but < 200,000		
	4	Population served < 150,000		

District 11 Project Evaluation System

Factor	System	Points Available	Criteria	Score
Useful Life of Project (Must be certified by an Engineer. Please provide explanation of how useful life was calculated.)		10	30 or more years	
		7	20-29 years	
		4	10-19 years	
		0	7-9 years (less than seven years/project = ineligible)	

Readiness to Proceed (Projects must be substantially underway by June 1, 2013 to be eligible for Round 26.) Must be consistent with the leverage of other funds schedule.		5	Bid award date on or before 12/31/12	
		3	Between 01/01/13 - 03/31/13	
		1	Between 04/01/13 - 05/31/13	
		0	On or after June 1, 2013 - The project is not eligible for Round 26 funding.	

Multi-jurisdictional needs of the District (ODOT is not a local jurisdiction. Jurisdictions counted must provide at least 5% the local match for the project.)		5	3 or more local jurisdictions in the project agreement	
		3	2 local jurisdictions in the project agreement	
		0	Single jurisdiction involved in the project	

Financial capacity of the applicant (90% state MHI = \$36,860 to 110% state MHI = \$45,052)	Enter applicant's 2000 median household income (MHI): 2000 Ohio MHI \$40,956			
		5	< or = \$36,860	
		3	> \$36,860 but < \$45,052	
		0	> or = \$45,052	

Applicant's previous performance on OPWC assisted projects		10	No previous OPWC assistance since Round 15	
		5	All projects Round 1-23 complete & all Round 24 projects under contract by 8/13/11	
		0	All others	

Population benefiting from the project (ADT for project area or population served by the project)	Enter ADT or population served:					
	Roads/Bridges	10	≥ 10,000 ADT			
		8	> 5,000 but < 10,000 ADT inclusive			
		6	>2,500 but < 4,999 ADT inclusive			
		4	< 2,499 ADT			
	Other Projects	10	≥ 10,000			
		8	> 5,000 but < 10,000 inclusive			
		6	>2,500 but < 4,999 inclusive			
		4	< 2,499			

District 11 Project Evaluation System

Factor	System	Points Available	Criteria	Score
Leverage of OPWC funds with Other Public Revenues (other public revenues divided by total resources) other revenues include grants from FHWA, USDA, CDBG, EPA, ODOT, ODOT. If using other revenues, a schedule of incoming funds and the commitment of those funds must be attached.		10	50% or more	
		7	26-49%	
		5	16-25%	
		3	11-15%	
		0	10% or less	

Leverage of OPWC funds with Local Funds (local funds divided by total resources) Local includes in-kind local public revenues user fees, other loans, assessments and / or private funds. OPWC loan does not count. If using other revenues, a schedule of incoming funds and the commitment of those funds must be attached.		10	50% or more	
		7	26-49%	
		5	16-25%	
		3	11-15%	
		0	10% or less	

Age and Condition of the System Health and Safety	New Projects (Where no infrastructure exists, this new project would have...)	10	Significant documented impact on health and safety concerns		
		7	Moderate documented impact on health and safety concerns		
		0	No documented impact on health and safety concerns		
	Bridges (please provide sufficiency ratings in application)	10	Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating < 30, closed, or posted less than 15 tons		
		7	Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 30 but < 50 inclusive or posted greater than 15 tons		
		4	Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating >50 but < 70 inclusive		
		0	Repair or replacement of a bridge having an ODOT sufficiency rating > 70		
	Roads	10	Project involves major reconstruction (including berm, ditch work and drainage structures)		
		7	Project involves minor reconstruction, 2" or more new asphalt, widening to meet current standards		
		0	Overlay of less than 2" new asphalt		
Other Projects (Please provide documentation of age of system/EPA correspondance)	10	Project repairs / replaces facility under EPA orders or where demand routinely exceeds capacity or where verified periodic environmental health hazard is present or facility is over 50 years old			
	7	Project repairs/replaces facility 25 to 49 years old			
	0	Project repairs/replaces facility less than 25 years old			

District 11 Project Evaluation System

Factor	System	Points Available	Criteria	Score
LTIP Specific	If eliminating safety hazard, please specify:			
	Roads/Bridges only	10	Project assists applicant in obtaining transportation infrastructure needed for significant, specific development opportunities or bridge replacement	
		7	Project assists applicant in alleviating traffic congestion (Level D or worse)	
		4	Project eliminates safety hazard	
		0	Routine repair, resurfacing or reconstruction	

Grant Request	All	7	0- \$100,000	
		5	\$100,001 - \$200,000	
		3	\$200,001 - \$400,000	
		0	Over \$400,000	

Pre - County Project Review Committee Priority Subtotal	
----------------------------------------------------------------	--

Priority of the project at the county level as determined by the county's project review committee (Applicable to only the three highest priority projects in each county.)	All	15	First Priority	
		10	Second Priority	
		5	Third Priority	

Project Review Committee Compliance. Applicant receives points from county review committee for county compliance	All	10	County committee approves that project was in compliance with county review committee policies	
		0	County committee does not approve that project was in compliance with county review committee policies	

Post - County Project Review Committee Priority Subtotal	
-----------------------------------------------------------------	--

MBE set aside needs of the District. Scoring in MBE sections is only to be completed by District Executive Committee	All	3	Project set-aside for direct purchase of supplies/materials and equipment offered by applicant/selected by the District and accepted	
		0	Project not set aside for MBE plan	

District Priority Points (Scoring in this section is only to be completed by the Executive Committee)				
	All	25	Distributed by Executive Committee	

Additional District Priority Points (Scoring in this section only applies to counties in the district with a population over 100,000 people. District Subcommittees for those counties must submit 4th and 5th ranked priority projects with 1st, 2nd, and 3rd ranked priority projects.)				
		10	Distributed by Executive Committee	

Final Total	0
--------------------	----------